

NUMALIGARH REFINERY LIMITED (Technical Recommendation for Service)

Date: 13.09.2019
Sub: Technical Recommendation for Removal and replacement of old CT-PT with new CT-PTs at NRL Refinery complex during RTA'2019

PR No (S) :10070302					RFQNo.: OC17000128	7000128		
Proprietary (P) / Non Proprietary (NP): NP	rietary (NP): NP				Nos of Bidders : 2	rs : 2		
Out come of technical evaluation:	luation:							
Name of Bidder	Description of reference Work Order considered for evaluation	Pre-Qualification Criteria as per Tender and Compliance	ompliance	Deployment of resources as per tender requirement	-	Compliance of Special Condition of Contract/ Other requirement as per	Technically acceptability of Offer	Reason for rejection*
						tender	(Yes/No)	
		Criteria	Compliance	Manpower (Yes/No/NA)	Equipment (Yes/No/NA)			
M/s Brahmaputra Commerce and Trade	NRL PO 4300005212 retrofit of 11KV SF6 breakers	Bidders must have past experience of having Yes successfully completed at least one similar job in	o,	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	2
M/s Navitus Controls and Equipment Pvt. Ltd.		Govt., semi-govt., PSU, MNC, Public Ltd. Company in India during last 10 years ending last day of the month preceding the months in which bids are invited. Similar works: experience in anyone of the following services. (a) Overhauling & servicing of HT breakers (minimum 11 KV level). (b) Retrofitting including testing & commissioning of HT breakers / Bust duct (minimum 11 KV level). PO and completion certificate / MOM copy is required as proof of experience.	No	No	N _O	No	N o	In the submitted bid, the documents on the found. Regarding this TQ was sen on 99/2019 and reply received or 12/09/2019 alongwith with PQC copieswhere scope of overhauling of HT breakers was not found, only condition monitoring/diagnostic testing is mentioned which is not the same. Again clarification was asked which was replied back vide mail dated 12/09/2019. The submitted PQ copies doesn't substantiate the scope described in the mail. Since the scope is not mention in the submitted PQ copies it can't be the submitted PQ copies doesn't substantiate the scope is not mention in the submitted PQ copies. It can't be the submitted PQ copies described in the mail. Since the scope is not mention in the submitted PQ copies described in the mail dated 13/09/2019 Hence rejected.
* Reasons of technic	al rejection to be cleary indi	* Reasons of technical rejection to be cleary indicated. Separate sheet, if required, to be enclosed	nclosed.					
All the participating of	ualified bidders have been	All the participating qualified bidders have been brought at par in technical specifications as per indent:	as per indent	:				

Approved as per DOA cl Indentor (s) (Signature, name, designation): CM (EM) Recommended by (Signature, name, designation): Numailgarn Retinery Ltd. Commercial Department 4 SEP 2019